

## REMARKS

Claims 1-15 remaining pending in this application. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are amended herewith. New claims 16-22 are added. Reconsideration of the objections/rejections set forth in the March 16, 2007 office action is respectfully requested. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 have been addressed in full by the present amendments to the claims. Therefore, these rejections should be withdrawn.

The anticipation rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,930,368 to Hocker ("Hocker") is specifically traversed. The independent claims 1 and 12 have now been amended to clarify that the one or more security functions include deleting confidential information from a memory of the mobile device. This amendment is supported by page 3, lines 19-20 (see "memory erasure") and page 6, lines 15-18 of the specification. New dependent claims 16 and 20 further specify that the confidential information is a decrypted version of encrypted information.

Hocker does not disclose a system and method in which confidential information stored in the memory of a mobile device is deleted from the mobile device memory upon placing the mobile device in a mobile device holder having a proximity detector. Rather, in Hocker, an address identifier or an encryption key is automatically exchanged between a portable device and a selected intelligent device upon docking the portable device. (Hocker, col. 3, ll. 25-34) Once removed, the portable device can then use the encryption key to transfer encrypted data to the selected intelligent device. Notably missing from Hocker, however, is any disclosure of what happens to confidential (e.g., decrypted) data stored in the memory of the portable device when the portable device is placed in a holder. As discussed in Applicant's disclosure, this confidential data is deleted so that if the mobile device is lost or stolen the confidential data is no

longer available to be accessed by the person finding or stealing the mobile device. Hocker is silent with respect to this functionality. Thus, for this reason the 102(b) rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-15 should be withdrawn. The remaining claims depend from claims 1 and 12 and thus are also distinguishable from Hocker.

This application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "David B Cochran". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

David B. Cochran (Reg. No. 39,142)

Jones Day

North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 586-7506